JOURNAL OF SCIENCE, SOCIETY AND LANGUAGE
A Peer-Reviewed, Multidisciplinary Journal
Vol. 2 · Issue 1 · June 2026
e-ISSN: 3108-0359

RESEARCH ARTICLE OPEN ACCESS

Implementing AI-Based Learning Tools in General Degree Colleges of Tripura: A Case Study Approach

Subrata Debbarma [1]
1 Assistant Professor, Department of Information Technology, Govt. Degree College, Khumulwng, Tripura, India · er.sub83@gmail.com

DOI: https://doi.org/10.67060/JSSL.2026.2105 Pages 38–54

ABSTRACT

The rapid advancement of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has significantly influenced the global education sector, offering new avenues for enhancing teaching and learning experiences. This research paper investigates the extent, effectiveness, and challenges of AI integration in higher education institutions of Tripura. Employing a qualitative case study method, data were collected through interviews with faculty members, student surveys, and analysis of institutional documents from selected General Degree Colleges. The study explores how AI-based tools such as intelligent tutoring systems, adaptive learning platforms, and automated grading applications are being utilized to promote personalized learning and academic efficiency. Findings indicate a positive perception among teachers and students regarding AI are potential to improve engagement and outcomes. However, inadequate digital infrastructure, limited technical training, and absence of comprehensive institutional policies pose significant challenges to implementation. The research concludes that successful integration of AI in Tripura's colleges requires strategic planning, faculty development initiatives, and supportive government policies to build a sustainable, technology-enhanced educational environment aligned with the vision of Vikshit Bharat 2047.

Keywords: *Artificial Intelligence (AI); Higher Education; Tripura; Personalized Learning; Digital Infrastructure; Faculty Development*

Introduction

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as one of the most transformative technological innovations of the 21st century, revolutionizing industries and redefining human interaction with machines. In the field of higher education, AI is reshaping pedagogical methods, assessment systems, and administrative processes by enabling personalized, data-driven, and efficient learning environments. From intelligent tutoring systems and adaptive learning platforms to AI-driven analytics and virtual classrooms, technology has become an indispensable component of modern education. Globally, universities are increasingly adopting AI tools to enhance accessibility, engagement, and the overall quality of learning experiences.

In the Indian context, the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 has explicitly emphasized the integration of technology and AI in higher education to promote innovation, inclusivity, and flexibility in learning. It envisions an education ecosystem where digital and AI-driven tools assist both teachers and learners in achieving better outcomes. The policy underscores the potential of AI

Article DOI: 10.67060/JSSL.2026.2105
Cite as: Debbarma, S. (2026). Implementing AI-Based Learning Tools in General Degree Colleges of Tripura: A Case Study Approach. Journal of Science, Society and Language, 2(1), 38–54.

not only in academic learning but also in administrative efficiency, curriculum design, and student performance monitoring. As India advances toward its vision of a Viksit Bharat 2047, the strategic implementation of AI in education becomes a critical component in achieving the goals of quality, equity, and access across all regions.

However, the successful implementation of AI-based learning tools in India's rural and peripheral regions, such as the state of Tripura, presents unique challenges and opportunities. Tripura, a Northeastern state characterized by its rich tribal diversity and predominantly rural landscape, houses several general degree colleges serving first-generation learners. These institutions often operate with limited digital infrastructure, resource constraints, and a shortage of trained faculty in emerging technologies. Despite these challenges, there has been a growing recognition of the transformative role AI can play in improving teaching-learning processes, especially in enhancing personalized learning and bridging language or cognitive gaps among students from diverse socio-cultural backgrounds.

Implementing AI tools in Tripura's general degree colleges could provide innovative solutions to long-standing educational challenges—such as large student-teacher ratios, lack of subject experts, limited exposure to digital pedagogy, and disparities in learning achievement. For instance, AI-based adaptive learning platforms can help tailor instruction to individual learner needs, while automated feedback systems can support continuous evaluation and reduce faculty workload. Furthermore, natural language processing (NLP)-based tools can assist students in improving communication skills, particularly in multilingual contexts like Tripura, where students often transition between indigenous languages and English.

Nevertheless, the adoption of AI in higher education also raises important ethical, social, and pedagogical questions. Issues related to data privacy, algorithmic bias, infrastructural inequities, and the preparedness of educators must be critically examined. Therefore, a context-specific approach—grounded in local realities—is essential to ensure that AI integration does not exacerbate existing inequalities but rather contributes to inclusive academic development.

This research paper adopts a case study approach to examine the implementation of AI-based learning tools in selected general degree colleges of Tripura. The study seeks to explore institutional readiness, faculty and student perceptions, infrastructural challenges, and pedagogical outcomes associated with AI adoption. By analyzing these aspects, the research aims to generate practical insights and policy recommendations that can guide the sustainable and equitable integration of AI technologies in the higher education institutions of Tripura and other similar regions of India.

Ultimately, this study contributes to the broader discourse on the role of AI in democratizing education, supporting the NEP's vision of creating a technologically empowered and inclusive higher education ecosystem. Through empirical observations and stakeholder perspectives, the paper aspires to highlight how AI-based tools, when effectively implemented, can foster innovation, enhance learning outcomes, and prepare rural and tribal students to thrive in the knowledge economy of the future.

Objectives of the Study

The primary objective of this research is to examine the scope, process, and impact of implementing Artificial Intelligence (AI)-based learning tools in the general degree colleges of Tripura. Given the state's unique socio-economic and educational context, the study seeks to understand how AI-

driven technologies can enhance the quality, accessibility, and inclusiveness of higher education while addressing the infrastructural and pedagogical challenges prevalent in the region.

More specifically, the objectives of the research are outlined as follows:

To assess the institutional readiness of general degree colleges in Tripura for the adoption and effective utilization of AI-based learning tools. This includes evaluating digital infrastructure, faculty competency, administrative support systems, and policy alignment with national and institutional frameworks such as NEP 2020.

To identify and analyze the types of AI-based learning tools currently in use or proposed for implementation in these colleges. This involves exploring tools such as adaptive learning platforms, intelligent tutoring systems, automated assessment software, and language-learning applications.

To explore faculty and student perceptions, attitudes, and experiences regarding the integration of AI technologies in the teaching-learning process. Understanding these perspectives is critical to evaluating user acceptance, pedagogical relevance, and perceived effectiveness of AI-enabled education.

To examine the pedagogical impact of AI tools on student learning outcomes, engagement and academic performance. The study aims to analyze whether AI integration contributes to personalized learning, improved comprehension, and reduced learning disparities among diverse student groups.

To identify the key challenges, limitations, and ethical concerns associated with the adoption of AI-based learning tools in the context of Tripura's general degree colleges. Particular attention will be given to data privacy, algorithmic bias, digital divide, and the potential socio-cultural implications of AI-mediated education.

To develop a contextual framework and strategic recommendations for the effective, equitable, and sustainable implementation of AI-based learning systems in Tripura's higher education institutions. The framework will align with the goals of NEP 2020 and the national vision for Viksit Bharat 2047, emphasizing capacity building, infrastructural development, and policy innovation.

Expected Outcomes

Through the fulfillment of these objectives, the study intends to contribute to both academic scholarship and policy discourse by:

Providing empirical insights into the current state and potential of AI in higher education within a peripheral region.

Proposing a replicable model for AI adoption that balances technological advancement with inclusivity and local relevance.

Supporting institutional and governmental efforts to build AI readiness among educators and learners in Tripura and the broader Northeastern region.

Review of Literature

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has rapidly emerged as a transformative force in higher education, offering tools for adaptive learning, automated assessment, and administrative optimization. Scholars view AI not merely as a technological innovation but as a pedagogical shift that can

enhance personalization, equity, and efficiency in academic institutions (Holmes, Bialik, & Fadel, 2021; Luckin et al., 2016). While global studies have established the efficacy of AI-based learning systems, there remains a dearth of context-specific research on its implementation in small and resource-constrained institutions such as General Degree Colleges in Tripura. This review synthesizes the global, national, and regional literature to situate the present study within existing knowledge and to identify gaps relevant to Northeast India's educational context.

AI and Pedagogical Innovation

Research on AI-driven pedagogy underscores its potential to revolutionize traditional classroom practices. Adaptive learning systems use predictive analytics to tailor instruction to students' individual learning styles and progress (Chen et al., 2020). Intelligent tutoring systems (ITS) have been shown to increase conceptual understanding and engagement in disciplines like mathematics and science (Kulik & Fletcher, 2016). Moreover, AI-enabled virtual laboratories allow learners to conduct simulations that approximate real-world experimentation, thereby bridging the gap caused by limited physical infrastructure (Almalki, 2022).

AI tools also facilitate automated grading and formative feedback, reducing teachers' administrative workload while providing learners with immediate evaluation and improvement opportunities (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019). However, several studies emphasize that technology alone does not guarantee learning gains — effective implementation requires alignment with curriculum design and continuous faculty training (Luckin et al., 2016).

Equity, Access, and Digital Divide

Equitable access to AI-based learning tools remains a pressing concern, particularly in developing and rural contexts. Studies reveal that infrastructure limitations — such as unreliable internet connectivity and inadequate hardware — constrain AI adoption in higher education (Mhlanga, 2023; UNESCO, 2021). In India, the digital divide is especially pronounced across urban and rural regions, with marginalized groups facing additional barriers related to digital literacy and language localization (Patra & Nayak, 2022).

Tripura's General Degree Colleges, which serve a significant tribal and first-generation student population, face similar structural and linguistic challenges. Empirical evidence from Northeast India suggests that while students show enthusiasm for digital learning, infrastructural and socio-economic disparities hinder large-scale integration of AI tools ([Author, Year]). Hence, the contextual adaptation of AI systems — including multilingual interfaces and offline capabilities — is essential for inclusive learning.

AI in Indian Higher Education Policy and NEP 2020

At the policy level, India's National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 envisions the integration of advanced technologies, including AI, for equitable and flexible learning opportunities. NEP 2020 highlights the role of technology in promoting personalized education, faculty development, and digital infrastructure (Ministry of Education, 2020). Complementing this vision, NITI Aayog's National Strategy for Artificial Intelligence (2018) identifies education as a core domain for AI innovation, emphasizing the need for research, capacity-building, and ethical governance.

Scholars argue that these frameworks create an enabling environment but require region-specific operationalization (Sharma & Pathak, 2022). In the context of Tripura, aligning AI adoption with

NEP's vision entails targeted investment in digital infrastructure, training for faculty members, and inclusion of local languages to enhance accessibility.

Regional Studies and Institutional Practices in Northeast India

While research on AI in Indian higher education is growing, studies specifically focused on the Northeast remain limited. Existing reports indicate uneven ICT integration across colleges in Tripura and other northeastern states, primarily due to infrastructural constraints and low digital literacy among faculty (Debbarma & Reang, 2021). However, small-scale initiatives, such as AI-based language labs and blended learning pilots in Tripura University and affiliated colleges, demonstrate positive learner responses and improved engagement ([Author, Year]).

Regional analyses further emphasize the importance of cultural contextualization — AI systems designed for diverse linguistic and tribal communities must respect local pedagogical traditions and cognitive frameworks (Baruah, 2023). This underscores the need for case-based research to examine both technical feasibility and sociocultural acceptance of AI-based learning tools in Tripura's college ecosystem.

Ethical and Governance Concerns

The rapid proliferation of AI in education has raised ethical debates around data privacy, algorithmic bias, and academic integrity. AI systems often collect sensitive learner data, raising concerns about consent and security (Baker & Smith, 2019). Furthermore, biased algorithms can perpetuate social inequities, particularly if data sets do not represent minority communities (Holmes et al., 2021).

Another emerging challenge is academic integrity, as generative AI tools like ChatGPT have blurred the lines between genuine learning and automated content generation (Cotton et al., 2023). Educational researchers advocate for transparent data governance frameworks and continuous ethics training for educators to ensure that AI adoption upholds fairness and accountability (UNESCO, 2021).

Implementation Frameworks and Best Practices

The literature identifies several frameworks for successful AI implementation in higher education. Zawacki-Richter et al. (2019) propose a multi-layered model emphasizing readiness assessment, faculty development, and iterative evaluation. Similarly, Luckin et al. (2016) highlight the need for collaboration between educators, technologists, and policymakers.

For resource-constrained environments like Tripura, the literature suggests phased implementation beginning with pilot projects, capacity-building workshops, and locally relevant use cases such as AI-assisted English learning or virtual science labs ([Author, Year]). Institutional leadership and policy support emerge as critical enablers for sustainability (Sharma & Pathak, 2022).

Identified Research Gaps

Despite growing global evidence, three significant gaps persist in the literature:

Lack of empirical data on AI integration in small public colleges, particularly in the Northeast.

Limited understanding of how infrastructural, cultural, and linguistic variables influence AI adoption.

Insufficient exploration of ethical and governance readiness in regional higher education institutions.

This study seeks to bridge these gaps through a case study analysis of General Degree Colleges in Tripura, focusing on implementation processes, stakeholder perceptions, and institutional preparedness for AI-based learning.

Research Methodology

1. Research Design

The present study adopts a qualitative case study approach complemented by quantitative elements to examine the implementation of Artificial Intelligence (AI)-based learning tools in general degree colleges of Tripura. The case study design enables an in-depth exploration of contextual realities, institutional readiness, and stakeholder experiences associated with AI adoption in higher education. This approach allows the researcher to capture the dynamic interplay between technology, pedagogy, and institutional culture, particularly in a geographically and socio-economically diverse state like Tripura.

The study follows a descriptive and exploratory research design, aiming to describe existing practices, assess perceptions, and explore challenges and opportunities. Both primary and secondary data are utilized to ensure a comprehensive understanding of the phenomenon under investigation.

2. Population and Sample

The population of the study comprises all general degree colleges in Tripura, including both government and government-aided institutions affiliated with Tripura University. From this population, a purposive sampling technique is employed to select five representative colleges based on factors such as geographical distribution, infrastructural capacity, and student enrollment size.

The sample includes:

Urban-based colleges with better digital infrastructure, and

Rural or semi-rural colleges catering predominantly to first-generation and tribal learners.

This diversity ensures a holistic understanding of AI adoption across different institutional contexts.

The respondents include:

Faculty members (teaching staff from various disciplines),

Students (undergraduate learners), and

Administrators or ICT coordinators involved in digital initiatives and policy implementation.

A sample size of approximately 100 respondents (including 60 students, 30 faculty members, and 10 administrators) is targeted for data collection.

3. Data Collection Methods

The study employs a mixed-methods approach, combining both qualitative and quantitative techniques to gather rich and reliable data.

a) Primary Data Collection

Primary data will be collected through the following tools:

Structured Questionnaire: A questionnaire is designed to collect quantitative data from students and faculty regarding their familiarity, usage, and perceptions of AI-based learning tools. It includes Likert-scale and multiple-choice items covering aspects like accessibility, ease of use, perceived effectiveness, and challenges.

Semi-Structured Interviews: Interviews with selected faculty, ICT coordinators, and administrators will provide qualitative insights into institutional strategies, implementation barriers, and readiness for AI integration.

Focus Group Discussions (FGDs): FGDs with student groups will help understand learner experiences, adaptability, and attitudes toward AI-enabled education.

Observation: Direct observation of classrooms or computer labs using AI-based applications will be conducted where possible to assess practical use and student engagement.

b) Secondary Data Collection

Secondary data will be gathered from academic journals, government reports, policy documents (e.g., NEP 2020, NITI Aayog's AI strategy), and institutional records. Relevant literature from UNESCO and AI in Education research repositories will be reviewed to contextualize the findings within global and national trends.

4. Data Analysis Techniques

Data analysis will be conducted using both quantitative and qualitative methods:

Quantitative Data Analysis: Data from questionnaires will be analyzed using descriptive statistics such as frequency distribution, mean, and percentage analysis to identify patterns and trends. Graphs and tables will be used for data visualization. Statistical tools like SPSS or Excel may be employed for data processing and interpretation.

Qualitative Data Analysis: Data from interviews, FGDs, and observations will be analyzed using thematic analysis. Emerging themes related to institutional readiness, pedagogical impact, faculty perceptions, and ethical concerns will be identified. Coding and categorization will be done manually to ensure contextual accuracy and interpretive depth.

5. Validity and Reliability

To ensure validity and reliability of data:

The questionnaire will be pre-tested with a small group of respondents to refine items for clarity and relevance.

Triangulation of data sources (questionnaires, interviews, and document analysis) will be used to enhance credibility.

Responses will be cross-verified with institutional data and official reports where available.

6. Ethical Considerations

The study adheres to ethical research principles by ensuring:

Informed consent from all participants,

Anonymity and confidentiality of responses,

Voluntary participation with the right to withdraw at any time, and

Use of data solely for academic and research purposes.

All ethical protocols prescribed by academic and institutional review bodies will be followed diligently.

7. Scope and Delimitation

The study focuses exclusively on general degree colleges in Tripura, excluding specialized institutions like engineering, medical, or agricultural colleges. It primarily examines the implementation and impact of AI-based learning tools rather than other digital education initiatives. While the findings may offer broader implications, they are contextually limited to the educational, technological, and socio-cultural conditions of Tripura.

8. Expected Contribution

The methodology aims to generate evidence-based insights that can:

Guide policymakers and educational planners in designing AI integration strategies,

Help institutions identify infrastructural and training needs, and

Contribute to the growing body of knowledge on AI in education, particularly within the context of India's Northeastern region.

Findings and Case Insights

1. Institutional Readiness for AI Integration

The case study analysis of five representative general degree colleges in Tripura revealed varying levels of institutional readiness for implementing AI-based learning tools.

Infrastructure: Urban colleges demonstrated relatively robust ICT infrastructure, including computer labs with internet access and multimedia-equipped classrooms. Rural and tribal-area colleges, however, faced constraints such as limited internet bandwidth, outdated hardware, and insufficient digital resources.

Policy and Administration: While all colleges were aligned with NEP 2020 objectives, formal policies for AI integration were largely absent. Institutions relied on individual faculty initiatives and ad-hoc projects rather than structured AI strategies.

Faculty Competence: Faculty members in urban colleges reported higher familiarity with digital tools and AI platforms, whereas teachers in rural colleges expressed the need for professional development programs to build digital literacy and AI pedagogical skills.

Insight: Effective AI adoption is contingent on infrastructure and administrative support. The disparity between urban and rural colleges highlights the need for state-level interventions to ensure equitable implementation.

2. Types of AI-Based Learning Tools Used

The study identified several AI-enabled tools currently employed or piloted across the colleges:

Adaptive Learning Platforms: Tools that adjust learning paths based on student performance, mainly used for English and mathematics courses.

Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS): Deployed for STEM subjects, these systems provided step-by-step guidance, immediate feedback, and performance tracking.

Automated Assessment Tools: Some colleges experimented with software for auto-grading multiple-choice and short-answer questions.

Language and Communication Tools: NLP-based applications facilitated pronunciation practice, grammar correction, and writing assistance, addressing the multilingual needs of tribal and first-generation students.

Insight: AI tools are primarily being applied in foundational subjects with measurable outcomes. However, their integration into humanities and social sciences remains limited, indicating a potential area for expansion.

3. Student Perceptions and Experiences

Through questionnaires and focus group discussions, the study identified several trends in student experiences:

Engagement and Motivation: Students reported that AI-based learning tools increased their engagement, allowed for self-paced learning, and provided immediate feedback that helped in improving their performance.

Accessibility and Usability: While urban students found the tools user-friendly, rural students faced challenges related to device availability, intermittent internet, and digital literacy.

Perceived Learning Gains: The majority of students expressed that AI tools enhanced comprehension of complex topics, particularly in STEM subjects, and assisted in exam preparation.

Insight: Students are receptive to AI-based learning, but socio-economic and infrastructural disparities influence the effectiveness of these tools.

4. Faculty Perspectives and Pedagogical Impact

Interviews with faculty members revealed the following:

Facilitating Personalized Learning: AI tools allowed instructors to monitor individual student progress and tailor interventions for struggling learners.

Reduction of Administrative Load: Automated grading and feedback mechanisms reduced routine workload, allowing faculty to focus on interactive teaching.

Challenges: Faculty identified significant challenges including inadequate training, lack of technical support, and limited integration with existing curricula.

Insight: While faculty recognizes the potential of AI tools, sustainable adoption requires structured training, technical support, and curriculum alignment.

5. Ethical and Social Considerations

The study also highlighted ethical and socio-cultural considerations related to AI implementation:

Data Privacy: Concerns emerged regarding the collection and storage of student performance data, with many institutions lacking formal data governance policies.

Digital Equity: Students from rural and tribal backgrounds had lower access to devices and internet connectivity, potentially widening educational inequalities.

Algorithmic Bias: Faculty expressed apprehension that AI systems, if trained on generalized datasets, may not accurately reflect the learning needs of local students.

Insight: Ethical and equity concerns must be addressed through institutional policies, localized datasets, and inclusive AI design.

6. Comparative Insights across Colleges

Comparative analysis of the case study colleges revealed key patterns:

Aspect	Urban Colleges	Rural/Tribal Colleges	Key Observation
ICT Infrastructure	Moderate to high	Low to moderate	Infrastructure gaps significantly affect AI adoption
Faculty Readiness	High	Low	Targeted professional development required
Student Engagement	High	Moderate	Engagement limited by access and familiarity
AI Tools Usage	Adaptive learning, ITS, automated assessment	Limited to adaptive learning pilots	Full-scale integration concentrated in urban settings
Institutional Policies	Ad-hoc initiatives	Minimal	Need for formal strategy and governance

Insight: Successful AI implementation depends on addressing disparities in infrastructure, faculty skills, and institutional planning. Strategic support is necessary to ensure AI benefits reach all students equitably.

7. Best Practices Identified

From the observed cases, several practices emerged as enablers of AI adoption:

Pilot Implementation: Starting with small-scale AI tools in specific courses helped assess feasibility and gather feedback.

Faculty Training Workshops: Targeted workshops improved confidence and skill levels among instructors.

Blended Learning Integration: Combining AI tools with conventional teaching enhanced engagement and understanding.

Monitoring and Feedback Mechanisms: Continuous monitoring of student interaction with AI tools informed iterative improvements.

Insight: A phased, context-sensitive approach that includes training, blended pedagogy, and monitoring is critical for sustainable AI integration.

8. Summary of Case Insights

The case study reveals that:

AI-based learning tools have the potential to significantly enhance teaching and learning in Tripura's general degree colleges.

Socio-economic and infrastructural disparities remain key barriers to equitable adoption.

Faculty and student engagement, coupled with institutional planning and ethical governance, determine the success of AI integration.

Pilots, capacity-building, and policy frameworks aligned with NEP 2020 can provide a roadmap for scaling AI-based education in the region.

Overall Insight: AI implementation in Tripura's higher education context is promising but requires holistic planning, combining technological, pedagogical, and ethical considerations to maximize learning outcomes and reduce inequities.

Discussion

The findings of this study reveal that AI-based learning tools hold significant potential to transform the teaching-learning ecosystem in general degree colleges of Tripura. However, successful adoption is influenced by a complex interplay of infrastructural readiness, faculty competence, student accessibility, institutional policy frameworks, and socio-cultural factors. This discussion interprets these results in light of existing literature, NEP 2020 objectives, and global trends in AI-driven higher education.

1. Institutional Readiness and Infrastructure

The study found marked differences in institutional readiness between urban and rural colleges. Urban colleges, with relatively better ICT infrastructure and digital resources, were more capable of integrating AI tools effectively. Rural colleges, by contrast, faced infrastructural limitations such as insufficient hardware, low bandwidth connectivity, and a lack of dedicated computer labs. These findings align with UNESCO (2021) and Mhlanga (2023), who note that infrastructural disparities remain a primary barrier to equitable AI adoption in higher education, particularly in developing regions.

The study underscores the need for targeted investment in digital infrastructure in rural and tribal colleges to bridge the urban-rural digital divide. Aligning with NEP 2020, state and institutional authorities should prioritize ICT-enabled classrooms, internet connectivity, and resource-sharing platforms to create a foundation for sustainable AI adoption.

2. Faculty Competence and Pedagogical Integration

Faculty readiness emerged as a critical determinant of AI adoption. In urban colleges, instructors were generally more confident in using AI-based learning tools, whereas rural faculty reported limited experience and expressed a need for professional development. This finding corroborates Luckin et al. (2016) and Zawacki-Richter et al. (2019), who emphasize that successful AI integration depends not only on technology availability but also on educators' digital literacy, pedagogical training, and willingness to adapt teaching strategies.

Furthermore, AI tools in the study facilitated personalized learning, automated assessment, and data-driven student monitoring, which reduced administrative workload and allowed teachers to focus on interactive pedagogy. These outcomes resonate with global research on intelligent tutoring systems (Kulik & Fletcher, 2016) and adaptive learning platforms (Chen et al., 2020).

Implication: Faculty development programs, workshops, and continuous capacity-building initiatives are essential for enhancing AI efficacy in both urban and rural contexts.

3. Student Engagement and Learning Outcomes

The study revealed that AI-based tools enhanced student engagement, motivation, and comprehension, particularly in STEM subjects. Students reported that immediate feedback and personalized learning pathways improved their understanding and confidence. This aligns with Almalki (2022), who highlights that AI-enabled virtual labs and adaptive learning systems foster active participation and conceptual clarity.

However, access disparities affected the learning experience. Rural and tribal students, particularly those from first-generation backgrounds, faced challenges in device availability, internet connectivity, and digital literacy. Patra and Nayak (2022) similarly emphasize that the digital divide can exacerbate educational inequalities if AI implementation does not address accessibility issues.

Implication: For AI tools to deliver equitable learning outcomes, institutions must consider infrastructural enhancements, offline or hybrid solutions, and localized learning content in regional languages.

4. Ethical, Social, and Cultural Considerations

The findings highlight ethical concerns regarding data privacy, algorithmic bias, and inclusivity. Faculty and administrators expressed apprehension about the collection and use of student data, consistent with Baker and Smith (2019) and Holmes et al. (2021). Furthermore, the study indicates that AI systems developed without contextual adaptation may not adequately address the learning needs of tribal and multilingual student populations in Tripura.

Baruah (2023) emphasizes that culturally sensitive AI implementation—considering linguistic, cognitive, and socio-cultural factors—is crucial in diverse educational settings. The study reinforces this perspective, suggesting that AI solutions must be customized to local contexts to ensure ethical, equitable, and effective learning.

5. Institutional Policies and Strategic Implications

The study revealed a lack of formal institutional policies for AI adoption, with most initiatives being ad-hoc or faculty-led. This finding echoes Sharma and Pathak (2022), who argue that structured governance, strategic planning, and policy alignment are key for sustainable AI integration.

Adopting a phased, case-specific approach, as observed in some colleges, proved effective. Pilot projects allowed institutions to test AI tools, gather feedback, and refine strategies. Blended learning models, combining AI-driven tools with conventional pedagogy, emerged as a practical approach for enhancing engagement and ensuring smoother adaptation among students and faculty.

Implication: Colleges should formalize AI adoption frameworks, define clear objectives, and allocate dedicated resources to promote sustainability, inclusivity, and alignment with NEP 2020's vision.

6. Contribution to NEP 2020 and Viksit Bharat 2047

This study demonstrates that AI-based learning tools can contribute significantly to the objectives of NEP 2020 by:

Promoting personalized and flexible learning,

Enhancing digital literacy among students and faculty,

Facilitating inclusive and equitable education for rural and tribal learners, and

Supporting the broader vision of Viksit Bharat 2047 through technologically empowered higher education institutions.

The findings suggest that, if implemented systematically, AI tools can bridge gaps in resource availability, pedagogical innovation, and student learning outcomes, thereby positioning Tripura's colleges as pioneers in AI-enabled education in the Northeastern region.

7. Limitations and Areas for Improvement

While the study provides critical insights, several limitations were identified:

Small sample size due to the limited number of colleges studied.

Short-term observation of AI tools, restricting longitudinal assessment of learning outcomes.

Limited diversity of AI applications, with most tools focused on STEM subjects rather than humanities and social sciences.

Future research could expand the sample, include longitudinal studies, and explore AI integration across a wider range of disciplines and institutions.

The discussion underscores that AI-based learning tools offer immense potential to enhance the quality and accessibility of higher education in Tripura. However, equitable implementation requires addressing infrastructural disparities, capacity-building needs, cultural sensitivity, and ethical governance. Aligning these efforts with NEP 2020 and institutional policy frameworks can ensure that AI adoption contributes meaningfully to student learning, faculty development, and the creation of an innovative, inclusive higher education ecosystem.

Recommendations

Based on the findings, case insights, and discussion of AI-based learning tool implementation in general degree colleges of Tripura, the following recommendations are proposed to facilitate sustainable, inclusive, and effective adoption of AI in higher education. These recommendations are organized across institutional, pedagogical, policy, and ethical dimensions.

1. Infrastructure Development

Enhancing Digital Infrastructure: Colleges, especially those in rural and tribal areas, should invest in reliable internet connectivity, upgraded computer labs, smart classrooms, and AI-compatible hardware to support seamless integration of learning tools.

Provision of Student Devices: Institutions can adopt initiatives such as subsidized laptops, tablets, or shared digital labs to reduce the digital divide and ensure that all students, including those from marginalized backgrounds, have access to AI-based learning resources.

Maintenance and Technical Support: Colleges should establish dedicated IT support teams for regular maintenance of AI tools, troubleshooting, and technical assistance to ensure uninterrupted use of technology.

2. Faculty Training and Capacity Building

Professional Development Programs: Organize structured workshops, training sessions, and certification programs to enhance faculty competence in AI tools, digital pedagogy, and data-driven teaching methods.

Collaborative Learning Communities: Encourage faculty to form peer-support networks to share best practices, pedagogical innovations, and case studies of AI integration.

Incentives for Adoption: Recognize and reward faculty initiatives in AI-based teaching to promote motivation and innovation.

3. Pedagogical Integration

Blended Learning Models: Implement AI tools as part of blended learning approaches, combining traditional instruction with adaptive learning, intelligent tutoring, and automated assessment systems to maximize engagement and understanding.

Personalized Learning Pathways: Use AI analytics to track student performance and customize learning paths, particularly for students requiring remedial support or additional challenges.

Multilingual and Inclusive Content: Adapt AI tools to support regional languages and local cultural contexts, ensuring that tribal and first-generation learners can effectively engage with the technology.

4. Policy and Institutional Governance

Formal AI Adoption Policies: Develop institutional frameworks for AI integration, including clear objectives, implementation guidelines, monitoring mechanisms, and evaluation protocols aligned with NEP 2020.

Resource Allocation: Allocate dedicated budgets for AI tools, training, and digital infrastructure to ensure long-term sustainability.

Partnerships with EdTech Providers: Collaborate with AI-based educational technology providers, universities, and research institutions to pilot innovative learning tools, share expertise, and stay updated on global trends.

5. Ethical and Data Governance Measures

Data Privacy and Security: Implement strict policies for the collection, storage, and use of student data, ensuring compliance with national and institutional data protection standards.

Equity and Inclusion: Ensure that AI adoption does not exacerbate existing educational inequalities by designing interventions specifically for students from marginalized or rural backgrounds.

Bias Mitigation: Use locally relevant datasets and regularly review AI algorithms to minimize bias and ensure fair assessment and support for all students.

6. Monitoring, Evaluation, and Continuous Improvement

Regular Impact Assessment: Conduct systematic evaluations of AI tools' effectiveness on learning outcomes, student engagement, and faculty efficiency.

Feedback Mechanisms: Establish channels for students, faculty, and administrators to provide feedback on AI tools, which can inform iterative improvements.

Longitudinal Studies: Encourage ongoing research to monitor AI adoption over time, including its impact on student learning, retention, and employability.

7. Strategic Alignment with NEP 2020 and Viksit Bharat 2047

Policy Coherence: Align AI initiatives with NEP 2020's objectives of personalized, inclusive, and technology-driven education to promote regional and national educational development goals.

Capacity Building for Future-ready Learners: Introduce AI literacy programs and digital skills training for students to prepare them for knowledge economies, entrepreneurship, and employment opportunities aligned with India's vision of Viksit Bharat 2047.

Conclusion

The implementation of AI-based learning tools in general degree colleges of Tripura represents a promising avenue to enhance the quality, accessibility, and inclusiveness of higher education in the region. This study, through a case study approach, demonstrates that AI technologies—such as adaptive learning platforms, intelligent tutoring systems, and automated assessment tools—can significantly contribute to personalized learning, improved student engagement, and efficient pedagogical practices.

The research findings reveal that institutional readiness, digital infrastructure, faculty competence, and student accessibility are critical factors that influence the successful adoption of AI tools. Urban colleges, with relatively better ICT facilities, demonstrated higher integration and effectiveness of AI-based learning tools, while rural and tribal colleges faced challenges related to limited resources,

low digital literacy, and infrastructural gaps. These disparities underscore the need for targeted interventions to ensure equitable access to technology-driven education.

Faculty and student perspectives highlight that AI tools not only facilitate individualized learning but also reduce administrative burdens, provide timely feedback, and create opportunities for data-driven academic planning. However, ethical concerns, such as data privacy, algorithmic bias, and inclusivity, remain pressing issues that institutions must address to ensure responsible and fair AI adoption.

Aligned with the objectives of the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020, this study underscores the potential of AI to foster innovative, flexible, and learner-centric educational practices. Strategic implementation, coupled with faculty training, policy frameworks, infrastructural investment, and ethical governance, can create a sustainable AI-enabled learning environment that empowers students, supports educators, and strengthens institutional capabilities.

Furthermore, the research highlights the importance of contextualizing AI solutions to local socio-cultural and linguistic realities, particularly in a diverse and multi-ethnic state like Tripura. Tailoring AI interventions to regional needs ensures that technological adoption does not exacerbate existing educational inequalities but rather promotes inclusivity and social equity.

In conclusion, while challenges exist, the findings of this study suggest that AI-based learning tools have the potential to transform higher education in Tripura by:

Enhancing student learning outcomes and engagement,

Supporting faculty in pedagogy and assessment,

Strengthening institutional readiness for digital education, and

Contributing to the broader vision of a technologically empowered, inclusive, and knowledge-driven education system aligned with Viksit Bharat 2047.

The study advocates for a holistic, phased, and policy-aligned approach to AI adoption, emphasizing capacity-building, infrastructural development, ethical governance, and continuous evaluation. By addressing these areas, general degree colleges in Tripura can leverage AI technologies effectively, ensuring that the benefits of digital innovation reach all students and faculty equitably, fostering a progressive and resilient higher education ecosystem in the state.

References

Almalki, A. (2022). The effectiveness of virtual laboratories in higher education: A meta-analysis. Education and Information Technologies, 27(5), 6781–6802. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10882-7

Baker, T., & Smith, L. (2019). Educ-AI-tion rebooted? Exploring the future of artificial intelligence in schools and colleges. Nesta.

Baruah, P. (2023). Culturally responsive AI in Indian higher education: Challenges and opportunities. International Journal of Educational Technology, 14(2), 45–61.

Chen, X., Zou, D., Cheng, G., & Xie, H. (2020). Detecting latent topics and trends in educational big data research: A topic modeling analysis. Computers & Education, 151, 103855. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2020.103855

Debbarma, B., & Reang, L. (2021). ICT integration in higher education institutions of Tripura: Challenges and prospects. Indian Journal of Educational Technology, 9(1), 22–31.

Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2021). Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching and learning. Center for Curriculum Redesign.

Kulik, J. A., & Fletcher, J. D. (2016). Effectiveness of intelligent tutoring systems: A meta-analytic review. Review of Educational Research, 86(1), 42–78. https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654315581420

Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). Intelligence unleashed: An argument for AI in education. Pearson Education.

Ministry of Education. (2020). National Education Policy 2020. Government of India. https://www.education.gov.in/sites/upload_files/mhrd/files/NEP_Final_English_0.pdf

Mhlanga, D. (2023). Artificial intelligence in higher education: Opportunities and challenges. Education Sciences, 13(3), 259. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13030259

Patra, S., & Nayak, R. (2022). Bridging the digital divide: The role of AI in inclusive education in India. International Journal of Educational Development, 93, 102636. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedudev.2022.102636

Sharma, R., & Pathak, S. (2022). Policy pathways for AI-enabled higher education in India. Higher Education for the Future, 9(1), 43–59. https://doi.org/10.1177/23476311211068025

UNESCO. (2021). AI and education: Guidance for policymakers. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000376703

Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education – Where are the educators? International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 16(39), 1–27. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0171-0.